



End-Sem Examination-I, Winter 2025

Academic Year: 2025-2026

Semester: I

Name of Program: Electrical Engineering

Pattern: 2024

Name of Course: Computer Added Power System Analysis Course

Code: 2406501

Max Marks: 60

Duration: 2:30 Hr.

Set B

Paper Solution

Q1. Comparison of GIS, NR and FDLF method

Aspects	GIS method	NR method	FDLF method
(1) Convergence	Slow	Fast	Faster than NR
(2) Computational effort	Low	High	Very high
(3) Accuracy	Low	High	Modest
(4) Complexity	Simple	Complex	Simple
(5) Memory Requirement	Low	High	Low

Q.2.80% Given $V_{a0} = 10 \angle 90^\circ$, $V_{a1} = 50 \angle 0^\circ$, $V_{a2} = 20 \angle 0^\circ$
 $\frac{1}{s} = 10 + j0$, $\frac{1}{s} = 50 + j0$, $\frac{1}{s} = 20 + j0$
 $a = 1 \angle 120^\circ$
 $a^2 = 1 \angle 240^\circ$

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_a \\ V_b \\ V_c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & a^2 & a \\ 1 & a & a^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_{a0} \\ V_{a1} \\ V_{a2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V_a = V_{a0} + V_{a1} + V_{a2}$$

$$= (10 + j0) + (50 + j0) + (0 + j20) = 40 + j20$$

$$V_a = 44.72 \angle 26.6^\circ$$

(1)



$$\begin{aligned}
 V_b &= V_{a0} + a_1 v_{a1} + a_2 v_{a2} \\
 &= (10 + j0) + (-25 + j43.30) + (-10.32 - j10) = -52.32 + j33.70 \\
 &= 74.69 \angle -134^\circ V
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_c &= V_{a0} + a_1 v_{a1} + a_2 v_{a2} \\
 &= (-25 - j43.30) + (-25 + j43.30) + 10.32 + j10 \\
 &= -10.68 + j53.30 \\
 \boxed{V_c = 37.70 \angle 118^\circ V}
 \end{aligned}$$

Q 3. (a) The state estimation problem for a 3-bus system using the weighted least square (WLS) method is

State vector: $x = [V_1, V_2, V_3]^T$.

Measurement z to the state variable x :

$$z = h(x) + e,$$

The WLS objective function

$$J(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i [z_i - h_i(x)]^2 \quad w_i = \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}$$

$m = \text{no. of measurements}$

In matrix form

$$J(x) = [z - h(x)]^T W [z - h(x)],$$

$$W = \text{diag.} (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m)$$

The state estimate \hat{x} is obtained by minimizing $J(x)$

$$\frac{\partial J(x)}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$x(k+1) = x(k) + \Delta x$$

$$\Delta x = [H^T W H]^{-1} H^T W [z - h(x|k)]$$

(2)



Q.3 (a) Mathematical formulation of WLS method in state estimation

Assume,

$$\text{State vector } x = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m]^T$$

The measurement z
 $z = h(x) + e$

The WLS method minimizes the weighted sum of squared residuals

$$J(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i (z_i - h_i(x))^2$$

In matrix form

$$J(x) = (z - h(x))^T W (z - h(x)), \quad W = R^{-1}$$

Linearization of measurement model

$$h(x) \approx h(x^{(k)}) + H \Delta x$$

H is Jacobian matrix of $h(x)$ w.r.t x ,

$$H_{ij} = \frac{\partial h_i(x)}{\partial x_j}; \quad \Delta x = x - x^{(k)}$$

Residual vector $r(x) = z - h(x^{(k)})$

$$\Delta x = [H^T W H]^{-1} H^T W r$$

Updated state vector

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + \Delta x$$

Q.3 (b) Significance of Jacobian matrix in state estimation
In state estimation for PS, the Jacobian matrix plays a crucial role in relating the system's state variables (e.g. voltage magnitude and phase angles) to the measurements (e.g. power flow and voltage magnitudes). The Jacobian matrix contains



Changes in state variables affect the measurement making it an essential component for solving the state estimation problem.

Q3. (a)

False Data Injection Attacks in State Estimation.

A false data injection attack is a type of cybersecurity threat where an attacker deliberately manipulates or injects incorrect data into the measurement system of a power grid or any other infrastructure with the intent of causing misleading information to be used in state estimation.

Impact of false data injection on state estimation

- ① Degradation of state estimation accuracy
- ② Incorrect system behavior prediction
- ③ Impact on grid operation and control
 - Inadequate control action
 - Grid instability
- ④ Compromising system security
 - Undetected faults.
 - Vulnerability to further attacks
- ⑤ Impact on security and reliability of the power system

Q. 4. (a) Given,

$$C_1 = 2000 + 2.5P_1 + 0.01P_1^2$$

$$C_2 = 400 + 2P_2 + 0.02P_2^2$$

$P_1 = 225 \text{ MW}$, $P_2 = 175 \text{ MW}$, $\frac{dP_1}{dP_2} = 0.2$
with economic dispatch

$$PF_1 \cdot \frac{dC_1}{dP_1} = PF_2 \cdot \frac{dC_2}{dP_2} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$



$$\frac{dC_1}{dP_1} = 2.5 + 0.02P_1, \quad \frac{dC_2}{dP_2} = 2 + 0.04P_2$$
$$PF_2 = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{dP_2}{dC_2}} = \frac{1}{1 - 0.2} = \underline{1.25} \text{ Ans}$$

from $P_1 = 325 \text{ ms}$, $P_2 = 125 \text{ ms}$

$$PF_1 \times (2.5 + 0.02 \times 325) = 1.25 (2 + 0.04 \times 125)$$
$$\boxed{PF_1 = 1.25} \text{ Ans}$$

Q4. $\frac{dC}{dP}$ $\frac{dC_1}{dP_1}$ $\frac{dC_2}{dP_2}$

$$C_1 = 60 + 30P_1 + 0.2P_1^2 \text{ Rs/hr}$$
$$C_2 = 80 + 20P_2 + 0.15P_2^2 \text{ Rs/hr}$$
$$d = 120 \text{ Rs/month}$$

$$\frac{dC_1}{dP_1} = (0.4P_1 + 30) \text{ Rs/month} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\frac{dC_2}{dP_2} = (0.30P_2 + 20) \text{ Rs/month} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\frac{dC_1}{dP_1} = \frac{dC_2}{dP_2} \Rightarrow 0.4P_1 + 30 = 0.30P_2 + 20 = 120$$
$$0.4P_1 + 30 = 120$$

$$P_1 = \frac{90}{0.4} = 225 \text{ Rs/hr}$$

$$0.30P_2 + 20 = 120$$

$$P_2 = \frac{100}{0.30} = 333.33 \text{ Rs/hr}$$

$$P_0 = P_1 + P_2 = 225 + 333.33 = 558.33 \text{ Rs/hr} \quad \text{--- (3)}$$



Q. 4. (b) Difference betn Economic load dispatch (ELD) and Unit Commitment (UC)

Aspect parameter	ELD	UC
(1) Objective	Minimize total gen. cost for a given load demand	Determine which generation should on or off and their off to minimize cost.
(2) Scope	Dispatched power among online units (no decision on which units are online)	Decides which units to commit (on) off and how much power each unit generate
(3) Input	known total load demand for a specific period.	Load forecast for multiple time periods.
(4) Output	Optimal power gen. level for each online unit	Optimal scheduling of unit commitment (on/off) decisions and their generation levels
(5) Constraints	Gen. capacity limits, power balance	min. up/down times, larger times
(6) Time horizon	short term	more complex
(7) Complexity	less complex	more complex

(8) Example - Dispatching power from a set of running units to meet a specific load
Scheduling of units over a 24-hr period to meet varying load demand.

Q. 4(d) Lagrange multiplier method for solving Optimal power flow (OPF) problems.

The Lagrange multiplier method is a powerful mathematical technique used for solving optimization problem with constraints.

OPF problem, These constraints include,

- (1) Power balance
- (2) Generator limits
- (3) Voltage limits
- (4) Line flows limits



(9). Loss Coefficient Sensitivity Approach in
Optimal power flow (OPF)

In power system, the losses are an important factor that must be accounted for during optimization, as they affect the overall system efficiency and cost of generation.

The loss coefficient is a key parameter in case of the losses, which represent the sensitivity of the system losses to changes in the generation levels.

Tr. losses in power system.

$$L = \sum_i \sum_j b_{ij} P_i P_j$$

Sensitivity approach to loss coefficients.

- Loss sensitivity constraint

$$L = \sum_i \sum_j b_{ij} P_i P_j$$

- Sensitivity coefficients

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial P_i} = \sum_j b_{ij} P_j$$

Q.5 (b) Generation Shift Sensitivity Distribution (GSSD) in power system - GSSD is a method used in power system to analyze and understand the impact of changes in power generation at one bus on the power flows across the transmission network.

GSSD expressed mathematically

$$\Delta P_{ij} = \sum_k S_{ijk} \Delta P_k$$

GSSD is based on the sensitivity of power flows in the network to changes in generation levels. It is derived from the system's power flow equations and associated Jacobian matrix in the load flow solution process. (7)



Q.5. (a) Loss Coefficients in power system Analysis
Loss Coefficients also known as B-coefficients or loss
penalty coefficients, are numerical factors used to
estimate the total losses in power system as a function of
power generation at various buses. They provide a
relationship between the active power injection at generation
buses and total system losses.

Mathematically

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n B_{ij} P_i P_j + \sum_{i=1}^n B_{0i} P_i + B_{00} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Significance of loss-coefficients

- (1) Calculation of transmission losses
- (2) Economic load dispatch
- (3) Optimal power flow
- (4) System planning
- (5) Generation dispatch and load sharing
- (6) Voltage and stability analysis

Q5. (a) Derivation of the loss in terms of generation and
Demand

The loss formula relates the total power losses
in a system to the power injection at different buses.

Transmission losses occur due to the resistive elements in
the lines and depend on the power flow and network
topology.

(1) Power balance eqn
$$P_G = P_D + P_L \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

(2) Loss formula
$$P_L = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n B_{ij} P_i P_j + \sum_{i=1}^n B_{0i} P_i + B_{00} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

(3) $P_i = P_{Gi} - P_{Di}$